

How do you think scrutiny is operating at CBC at the moment?

	Very Effect	Effective	Less than	€ Poor
Members 2013	0%	44%	52%	4%
Members 2011	0%	20%	65%	15%

Is cabinet being effectively held to account?

	Effectively held to account	Effectively held to account but could be improved	Rarely held to account	Don't know
Members 2013	4%	43%	46%	7%
Members 2011	5%	35%	55%	5%

Is scrutiny contributing to the development of new policies and strategies?

	Yes	No	Partially	Not sure
Members 2013	25%	18%	36%	21%
Members 2011	5%	10%	75%	10%

Do you feel that the mechanisms and processes are in place for effective scrutiny of

	Yes	Partially	No	I don't understand how they will be held to account
Members 2013	15%	33%	30%	22%

Is scrutiny achieving positive outcomes for the residents of Cheltenham?

	Yes	No	Partially	Not sure
Members 2013	15%	33%	30%	22%
Members 2011	10%	25%	55%	5%

Overall how do you rate the new scrutiny arrangements compared with the former

	Excellent - a great improvement	Good- Some improvements	About the same - no change	Poor - a backward step
Members 2013	8%	46%	29%	17%

The new Overview and Scrutiny Committee was set up as a managing and co-ordinating

	Excellent	Good	OK but needs improvement	Poor
Members 2013	0%	17%	61%	22%

Do you feel you have the skills and expertise to carry out your scrutiny role?

	Yes	No	Partially	Don't know
Members 2013	71%	0%	24%	5%
Members 2011	55%	0%	25%	0%

If you have been involved in a scrutiny task group how would you rate its effectiveness?

	Very effective	Effective but could be improved	Less than effective	Poor
Members 2013	43%	39%	9%	9%

How would you rate the officer support for the task groups you have been involved in?

	Excellent	Good	OK	Poor
Members 2013	57%	22%	9%	4%
Members 2011	5%	25%	50%	20%

If you haven't been involved in a scrutiny task group what are you reasons?

	No Time of meetings	No interest in topics	Lack of time to get involved	scrutiny can make a
Members 2013	13%	25%	50%	13%

